CHECKLIST ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

Project Name: Ortner EQIP

Proposed

Implementation Date: Summer 2018
Proponent: Jay Ortner
Location: 32N 18E 16
County: Biaine
Trust: Common

I. TYPE AND PURPOSE OF ACTION

Jay Ortner has requested to install a cross fence across his state lease to facilitate a grazing rotation. This project is a part of a larger EQIP plan with the NRCS.

II. PROJECT DEVELOPMENT

1. PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT, AGENCIES, GROUPS OR INDIVIDUALS CONTACTED:

Provide a brief chronology of the scoping and ongoing involvement for this project.

Department of Natural Resources and Conservation (DNRC)

Northeastern Land Office (NELO)

Natural Resources and Conservation Service (NRCS)

Jay Ortner (Proponent)

2. OTHER GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES WITH JURISDICTION, LIST OF PERMITS NEEDED:

The DNRC, and NELO have jurisdiction over this proposed project.

DNRC is not aware of any other agencies with jurisdiction or other permits needed to complete this project

3. ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED:

Alternative A (No Action) — Under this alternative, the Department does not grant permission to install the fence.

Alternative B (the Proposed Action) – Under this alternative, the Department does grant permission to install the fence.

III. IMPACTS ON THE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT

- RESOURCES potentially impacted are listed on the form, followed by common issues that would be considered.
- Explain POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATIONS following each resource heading.
- Enter "NONE" If no impacts are identified or the resource is not present.

4. GEOLOGY AND SOIL QUALITY, STABILITY AND MOISTURE:

Consider the presence of fragile, compactable or unstable soils. Identify unusual geologic features. Specify any special reclamation considerations. Identify any cumulative impacts to soils.

	Summary by Rating Value	
Summary by Rating Value		8
Rating	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
Well suited	58.7	100.0%
Totals for Area of Interest	58.7	100.0%

All soils in the APE are rated as "well suited" for fencing.

Alternative A (No Action)- No effect anticipated.

Alternative B (the Proposed Action)- No effect anticipated

5. WATER QUALITY, QUANTITY AND DISTRIBUTION:

Identify important surface or groundwater resources. Consider the potential for violation of ambient water quality standards, drinking water maximum contaminant levels, or degradation of water quality. Identify cumulative effects to water resources.

Alternative A (No Action)- No effect anticipated.

Alternative B (the Proposed Action)- No effect anticipated.

6. AIR QUALITY:

What pollutants or particulate would be produced? Identify air quality regulations or zones (e.g. Class I air shed) the project would influence. Identify cumulative effects to air quality.

Alternative A (No Action)- No effect anticipated.

Alternative B (the Proposed Action)- No effect anticipated.

7. VEGETATION COVER, QUANTITY AND QUALITY:

What changes would the action cause to vegetative communities? Consider rare plants or cover types that would be affected. Identify cumulative effects to vegetation.

Current plant community is a native short grass community.

Alternative A (No Action)- No effect anticipated.

Alternative B (the Proposed Action)- No effect anticipated

8. TERRESTRIAL, AVIAN AND AQUATIC LIFE AND HABITATS:

Consider substantial habitat values and use of the area by wildlife, birds or fish. Identify cumulative effects to fish and wildlife.

Alternative A (No Action)- No effect anticipated.

Alternative B (the Proposed Action)- No effect anticipated.

UNIQUE, ENDANGERED, FRAGILE OR LIMITED ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES:

Consider any federally listed threatened or endangered species or habitat identified in the project area. Determine effects to wetlands. Consider Sensitive Species or Species of special concern. Identify cumulative effects to these species and their habitat.

9 Species Filtered by the following criter NT Status = Species of Concer Township = 032N018E base										
SCIENTIFIC NAME COMMON NAME TAKA SORT	FAMILY (SCIENTIFIC) FAMILY (COMMON)	CLOEAL RANK	STATE FLANK	usrws	uses	BLet.	FWF SWAF	S OF GLOBAL ENERSING RANGE IN MT	IS OF METHAT IS ENTERING RANGE	наглат
Lasiurus cinvreus	Vespertitionidae	G3G4	53.				SGCN3	25	100%	Riparian and forest
Hoary Eat	Bats	Treaty is 1909, in teat	eart, breen later.	COLUMN CONTRACT COMM	Warne Britishader Conton Carter a. Milloca, M. Darryll, Fact. Febru	Chose Trume (1.55) (Sen Prose Ferse) Ferse	the Bernstein Be	o Porto a Pacifica Pantisa.	lima taran 1974	e, Stante es univer vicination nistration francisco Teor Tope
ttyotis lucifugus Little Brown Myotis	Vespertitionidae Bats	63	53				5GCH3	3%	100%	Generalist a, Crama et 1976 per 2000 Sam
SCIENTIFIC NAME COMMON MAME TAXA SORT	FAMILY (SCIENTIFIC) FAMILY (COMMON)	OLCEAL RANK	STATE RANK	USFWS	USPS	21.05	ForP SWAP	N OF GLOBAL BREEDING	N OF ATTMATIS	MARITAT
COMMON HAME TAXA SORT Irdea herodias	FAMILY (COMMON) Ardeldae	RANK G5	RANK 53	MBTA			SGCHO	RANGE IN AT	BESEDING RANGE 100%	HazitaT Ploarian forest
COMMON HAME TAKE SORT Indea herodias Great Bue Heron	FAMILY (COMMON)	GS Species Occurrences ve	RANK 53 srifted in these Count	MBTA Test des en ser de dig est est des personnes de grandes en est en comparation de compara	Extra Elippoleta Delpol Carta- ajour Historia Park Factoria. Charlando Decimie Vateria atlunio	Charles Course Course C Fruits Parities Favanching	\$6003 1-01-044-0204-7605-76 50-0-5-10-6-6-0-6	RANGE IN MT ON Tall Tarraid Study is NAS. Third Records Reserve Study	BREEDING RANGE 100%	
COMMON HAME TAKA SORT Irdea herodias Great Bue Heron Juseo regalis	SAME (COMMON) Ardeldor Sitterns / Egrets / Herons / Night- Herons Accipitation	G5 Species Occurrences ve	FANK 53 prified in these Count 100 (100) 100 (100) 100 (100) 100 (100) 100 (100) 100 (100) 100 (100) 100 (100)	MBTA	Earny Ericko etal Cerco Carta- Mour Historian Park Ferrida, vi Linko and decirre representat di	CHINCE CHANNEL CONF. T Fruit, Fritzen Friedrich in Haaner gentomerach inte au SERSTIVE	56CH3	FANCE IN AT	### BREEDING RANGE 100% 10	Biparian forest
COMPON HAVE TAKA SORT Irdea herodias Great Bue Heron luceo regalis Ferruginous Hawk	FAMILY (COMMEN) Andeldoe Sitters / Egrets / Herons / Night- Herons Accipitation Hawks / Nitos / Eagles	Species Occurrences ve State Rank Reason: G4 Species Occurrences ve	FANK. 53 eriffed in these Count 100 Factor (100) 100 Fact	MBTA BCC10; BCC1	Party Signal and Carpo Carpo Move Multiplant Fair Fairca, V Charles Carpo Salve Huntil Party Carpo Carpo Salve Huntil Nova Associated Salve Carpo	Charter Country, Const. Co. Country Control of Paragraph and Co. SERSITIVE LIGHT CONST. Country Co.	56000 - 201 204 2022 7427 75 - 52 2 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	FANCE IN AT	### EREEDING RANGE 100% **Set # Societ File Societ Societ Societ Societ Societ Societ	Biparian forest
COMPON MAPE TAXA SORT Irdea heredias Great Blue Heron Juneo regalis Ferruginous Hawk Folco peregrinus	SAME (COMMON) Ardeldor Sitterns / Egrets / Herons / Night- Herons Accipitation	GS Species Occurrences ve	RANK 53 striffied in these Count 52 538 striffied in these Count 53 538 striffied in these Count 53	MBTA: BCC10; BCC1 MBTA: BCC10; BCC1 BAI: MBTA: BCC10; BCC10; BCC17	Party State and Tax Ferrida, which and tax T	SENSITIVE SENSITIVE SENSITIVE SENSITIVE SENSITIVE SENSITIVE	\$600 \$500 \$100 \$100 \$100 \$100 \$100 \$100 \$100	PANCE IN PT The part of the p	EFFERING RANGE 100% Cape Soper File Services 100% 100% 100% 100%	Riparian forest 19
COMPON NAME TAXA SORT Irdea herodias Great Blue Heron Sucho regalis Ferreginous Hawk Folco peregrinus	FAMILY (COMMON) Addeldoe Bitterns / Egrets / Herons / Night- Herons Accideration Hawks / Nitos / Engles Folconidoe	Species Occurrences vs State Rank Reason: G4 Species Occurrences vs G4 Species Occurrences vs	RANK 53 sriffed in these Count 122-12-9 538 sriffed in these Count 53 criffed in these Count	MBTA: BCC10; BCC1 MBTA: BCC10; BCC1 BAI: MBTA: BCC10; BCC10; BCC17	First Type 418 Type 12	SENSITIVE SENSITIVE SENSITIVE SENSITIVE SENSITIVE SENSITIVE	\$600 \$500 \$100 \$100 \$100 \$100 \$100 \$100 \$100	PANCE IN PT The part of the p	EFFERING RANGE 100% Cape Soper File Services 100% 100% 100% 100%	Siparian forest 11 - 44 11 2 - 55 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1
	FAMILY (COMMON) Addeldoe Bitterns / Egrets / Herons / Night- Herons Accideration Hawks / Nitos / Engles Folconidoe	RANK 5 5 Species Occurrences very State Rank Reason: 6-3 Species Occurrences very 6-4 Species Occurrences very 6-5 Species Occurrences very	RANK 53 serified in these Count 152 153 153 serified in these Count 53 serified in these Count 53 238	M8TA: 80C10; 8CC11 M8TA: 80C10; 8CC11 BM: M8TA: 8CC10; 8CC17 M8TA: BCC10; 8CC17	Emm Biggs etc. Co. Service Million Co. Service	SENSITIVE SENSITIVE SENSITIVE SENSITIVE	\$603 \$207 \$46 2224 \$407 \$7 \$207 \$407 \$7 \$207 \$407 \$7 \$208 \$209 \$209 \$209 \$209 \$209 \$209 \$209 \$209		### ERSENNO RANGE 100% 100	Siparian forest 11 - 44 11 2 - 55 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1

Alternative A (No Action)- No effect anticipated.

Alternative B (the Proposed Action)- No effect anticipated.

10. HISTORICAL AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITES:

Identify and determine effects to historical, archaeological or paleontological resources.

There are numerous cultural sites around the area. Sites include stone circles and cairns. All registered sites will be avoided and if previously unknown cultural or paleontological materials are identified during project related activities, all work will cease until a professional assessment of such resources can be made.

Alternative A (No Action)- No effect anticipated.

Alternative B (the Proposed Action)- No effect anticipated.

11. AESTHETICS:

Determine if the project is located on a prominent topographic feature, or may be visible from populated or scenic areas. What level of noise, light or visual change would be produced? Identify cumulative effects to aesthetics.

Alternative A (No Action)- No effect anticipated.

Alternative B (the Proposed Action)- No effect anticipated.

12. DEMANDS ON ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES OF LAND, WATER, AIR OR ENERGY:

Determine the amount of limited resources the project would require. Identify other activities nearby that the project would affect. Identify cumulative effects to environmental resources.

Alternative A (No Action)- No effect anticipated.

Alternative B (the Proposed Action)- No effect anticipated.

13. OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTS PERTINENT TO THE AREA:

List other studies, plans or projects on this tract. Determine cumulative impacts likely to occur as a result of current private, state or federal actions in the analysis area, and from future proposed state actions in the analysis area that are under MEPA review (scoped) or permitting review by any state agency.

Alternative A (No Action)-No effect anticipated.

Alternative B (the Proposed Action)- No effect anticipated.

IV. IMPACTS ON THE HUMAN POPULATION

- RESOURCES potentially impacted are listed on the form, followed by common issues that would be considered.
- Explain POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATIONS following each resource heading.
- Enter "NONE" If no impacts are identified or the resource is not present.

14. HUMAN HEALTH AND SAFETY:

Identify any health and safety risks posed by the project.

Alternative A (No Action)- No effect anticipated.

Alternative B (the Proposed Action)- No effect anticipated.

15. INDUSTRIAL, COMMERCIAL AND AGRICULTURE ACTIVITIES AND PRODUCTION:

Identify how the project would add to or alter these activities.

Alternative A (No Action)- No effect anticipated.

Alternative B (the Proposed Action)- No effect anticipated.

16. QUANTITY AND DISTRIBUTION OF EMPLOYMENT:

Estimate the number of jobs the project would create, move or eliminate. Identify cumulative effects to the employment market.

Alternative A (No Action)- No effect anticipated.

Alternative B (the Proposed Action)- No effect anticipated.

17. LOCAL AND STATE TAX BASE AND TAX REVENUES:

Estimate tax revenue the project would create or eliminate. Identify cumulative effects to taxes and revenue.

Alternative A (No Action)- No effect anticipated.

Alternative B (the Proposed Action)- No effect anticipated.

18. DEMAND FOR GOVERNMENT SERVICES:

Estimate increases in traffic and changes to traffic patterns. What changes would be needed to fire protection, police, schools, etc.? Identify cumulative effects of this and other projects on government services

Alternative A (No Action)- No effect anticipated.

Alternative B (the Proposed Action)- No effect anticipated.

19. LOCALLY ADOPTED ENVIRONMENTAL PLANS AND GOALS:

List State, County, City, USFS, BLM, Tribal, and other zoning or management plans, and identify how they would affect this project.

Alternative A (No Action)- No effect anticipated.

Alternative B (the Proposed Action)- No effect anticipated.

20. ACCESS TO AND QUALITY OF RECREATIONAL AND WILDERNESS ACTIVITIES:

Identify any wilderness or recreational areas nearby or access routes through this tract. Determine the effects of the project on recreational potential within the tract. Identify cumulative effects to recreational and wilderness activities.

Alternative A (No Action)- No effect anticipated.

Alternative B (the Proposed Action)- No effect anticipated.

21. DENSITY AND DISTRIBUTION OF POPULATION AND HOUSING:

Estimate population changes and additional housing the project would require. Identify cumulative effects to population and housing

Alternative A (No Action)- No effect anticipated.

Alternative B (the Proposed Action)- No effect anticipated.

22. SOCIAL STRUCTURES AND MORES:

Identify potential disruption of native or traditional lifestyles or communities.

Alternative A (No Action)- No effect anticipated.

Alternative B (the Proposed Action)- No effect anticipated.

00	OIII '	TIIDAI	LINIIOLIENIEOO	ABIE	DUTEDOITM
-) <	1 1 1 1 1	\square	UNIQUENESS	VIVIII	INVERSITY:

How would the action affect any unique quality of the area?

Alternative A (No Action)- No effect anticipated.

Alternative B (the Proposed Action)- No effect anticipated.

24. OTHER APPROPRIATE SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC CIRCUMSTANCES:

Estimate the return to the trust. Include appropriate economic analysis. Identify potential future uses for the analysis area other than existing management. Identify cumulative economic and social effects likely to occur as a result of the proposed action.

Alternative A (No Action)- No effect anticipated.

Alternative B (the Proposed Action)- No effect anticipated.

EA Checklist	Name:	Brandon Sandau					
Prepared By:	Title:	and Use Specialist					
Signature:	Date: March 15, 2018						
V. FINDING							
25. ALTERNATIVE	SELECTE):					
Alternative B (the Proposed Action) – Under this alternative, the Department does grant permission to install the fence.							
26. SIGNIFICANCE	OF POTEN	ITIAL IMPACTS:					
No significant impact expected.							
27. NEED FOR FURTHER ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS:							
EIS		More Detailed EA	XXX	No Further Analysis			

EA Checklist Approved By:

Name: Barny D. Smith
Unit Manager, Northeastern Land Office

Signature: Date: March 15, 2018